Minutes of RUSA Project Approval Board held on 6th November, 2013

The first meeting of the RUSA Project Approval Board (PAB) was held on 6th November, 2013 at 4.30 PM under the chairmanship of Shri. Ashok Thakur, Secretary Higher Education, MHRD. The list of participants in the meeting is at **Annexure 1**. Prof. Ved Prakash, Chairman UGC, co-chaired the meeting.

Sh. R.P Sisodia, Joint Secretary (HE) and Mission Director RUSA introduced the Chair to the meeting and requested the Chair to take charge of the proceedings. The Chair welcomed the members of the committee to the first meeting of the PAB and briefly explained the philosophy and principles of the Scheme. The Chairman asked the Mission Director to go ahead with the agenda of the meeting.

The Mission Director welcomed the participants and informed the house that so far 15 States and 04 UTs had indicated their willingness to participate in RUSA. He informed the members about the decision of the Cabinet while sanctioning the scheme that the current year sanctions should be restricted to ongoing schemes only. He clarified that the items on the agenda were a continuation of the on-going Schemes and in the nature of preparatory activities in line with the Cabinet approval. He mentioned that only those States and UTs which had fulfilled the prerequisites would be taken up for consideration in the PAB. With the permission of the Chair, the following items on the agenda were taken up for discussion.

1: Model Degree College

1.1 The Mission Director clarified that the Model Degree College (MDC) Scheme has been subsumed under RUSA. There are two kinds of proposals for Model Degree Colleges placed for consideration of the PAB. The first set of proposal was of MDCs which have already been approved under the erstwhile Scheme and the first installment of Central funds has been released. The proposal before PAB was for release of the second installment for 31 proposals amounting to Rs. 49.265 crores under the norms of the erstwhile Scheme.

- 1.2 The second set of proposal were those which had been received but not yet been approved and no funds under the erstwhile Scheme had been released. On preliminary examination, 56 such proposals were found to be eligible for receiving the first installment (50% of Central share) under the new norms under RUSA. The PAB after detailed discussion agreed to consider the scheme as an existing scheme, though with the revised RUSA norms. The total amount of funds to be released was Rs. 218. 40 crores
- 1.3 Responding to the point raised by Secretary, Higher Education, Jammu and Kashmir for consideration of support to MDCs in J&K, it was clarified by the Chair that subject to full and proper submission of undertaking and checklist within 15-20 days, proposals of J&K would be considered and funds released. On the query made by Convener, Higher Education, Karnataka, it was clarified that 11 of their proposals were deficient and would be required to be re-submitted. These proposals, as and when received, will be placed before PAB in its next meeting.
- 1.4 The Joint Advisor, Planning Commission, sought a clarification from the Ministry on whether the RUSA guidelines had been approved by the competent authority. The Mission Director, RUSA, informed that guidelines are only an elaboration of the programmatic norms, which have been approved by Cabinet.
- 1.5 The Principal Secretary, (Higher Education), Manipur expressed the concern that Manipur does not have an Educationally Backward Districts (EBD) and has not been able to submit proposals for MDCs. He asked if Manipur could submit proposals for MDCs as part of its State Higher Education Plans (SHEP). The Chair clarified that the State could include MDCs in its SHEP.

Decision of PAB

The PAB approved (a) release of second installment amounting to Rs. 49.365 crores for 31 institutions. The funds would be released directly to the States/Universities (as the case may be) as had been done in the erstwhile Scheme and (b) release of the 50% Central Share to 56 new MDCs as first installment amounting to Rs. 218.40 crores. These funds would be released to the States.

Item 2 - Ongoing Scheme of Sub-Mission on Polytechnic

The Mission Director informed the house about the details of the ongoing scheme of "Support for the polytechnics in the States including strengthening of existing polytechnics, setting up of new polytechnics, community polytechnics and women's hostel in polytechnics", which has been brought under the umbrella of RUSA. However, the Scheme would continue this year as it is, without any change in its scope or parameters. It will be completely subsumed in RUSA budget next year and separate budget head for this scheme would be discontinued.

Decision of the PAB

This was noted by the PAB.

Item 3 - Preparatory Grants for States

- 3.1 The Mission Director explained the details of the proposal including the purpose for which the preparatory grants are being provided to the states. These have been cleared detailed out in the programmatic norms mentioned in the RUSA guidelines (item 10). The Mission Director mentioned that resources have been allocated to States, on the basis of a Fund Equalization Matrix, taking the relevant parameters like size and student population of the states etc. The entitlements were shown in Annexure III of the RUSA PAB agenda.
- 3.2 On examination, it was found that Jammu & Kashmir had not sent undertaking and only sent the check list, which also was full of deficiencies; Tamil Nadu had only indicated its willingness but has not submitted the check list and undertaking. Gujarat had submitted proposal only on 6th Nov, just before the start of the PAB meeting. However, it had fulfilled both the conditions of submitting an undertaking and a checklist.
- 3.3 Responding to Principal Secretary, Higher Education, Punjab's concern that the States' share cannot be contributed due to no budgetary provision for RUSA Scheme in the current plan of the State, the Mission Director emphatically ruled out

any leeway and insisted that consequent to the release of the Central Share of funds, States must also contribute their share as mandated by RUSA. Otherwise the states will have to surrender the amounts released.

Decision of the PAB

The PAB approved the release of the first installment of funds as proposed in the PAB agenda amounting to Rs. 43.8 crores as Central share for 12 States and 04 UTs. It further approved release of Rs. 3.25 crores as central share to the State of Gujarat.

In case of Jammu & Kashmir, it was decided that funds will be released only after the State fulfills the condition of submitting undertaking and a checklist.

The details are at Annexure -3.

Item 4 - Timelines for Implementation

- 4.1 The draft timelines for different milestones for RUSA during the current year were placed for consideration before the PAB for approval.
- 4.2 Several states requested for relaxing the timelines. Responding to points made by States such as Andhra Pradesh and Bihar, on consequences of non-adherence to the timelines or whether there could be some flexibility on the adherence to timelines, the Chair urged the States to stick to the timeline since RUSA has to happen in a time bound manner. He also mentioned that the ones who miss the timelines will only be considered in the subsequent PAB meetings.
- 4.3 On the point of preparation of Institutional Plans and State Plans, the Secretary, Higher Education, Punjab sought to know from where the consultants for the preparatory works could be hired. It was clarified that States are free to hire consultants and the RUSA resource Centre (at the national level) can also be approached for any technical support. Consultants hired by the States should be for the purpose of data collection, baselines surveys, conducting workshops, stake holder consultations etc. However, the Mission Director urged that the consultants

be only used for preparatory activities and the preparation and ownership of Institutional and State Plans must be with the States.

Decision of the PAB

The PAB considered and approved the proposed timelines.

Item 5 - Capacity Building and Leadership Development

- 5.1 The Mission Director, RUSA explained item for professional development and capacity building of educational administrators/academic leaders/policy makers. In the current year, only preparatory activities for developing the leadership programme and training modules such as consultative meetings with State Governments, academic institutions and other stakeholders etc. would be undertaken. In this regard, the proposal of Tata Institute of Social Sciences called "Need Assessment and Strategic Planning for RUSA" was considered. The estimated cost of the proposal is Rs. 2.36 crores.
- 5.2 After a brief discussion, the Chair suggested that training programs under NMTT, TEQIP should be also looked at, while developing a comprehensive program by TISS. Agencies like UKIERI should also be involved in the process and dovetail both national and international experience. The Mission Director mentioned that such a leadership program developed would be a customized to various levels and stakeholders.
- 5.3 Prof. Shailendra Raj Mehta welcomed the proposal and suggested more innovative methods of learning be developed including creation of e-learning modules; use of the flipped model (online content, usually at home, and assignments done in classrooms with teacher offering more personalized guidance and interaction with students). Prof. Mehta also suggested that money be set aside to create case studies. He also suggested that "effective execution" needs to be added as a topic for the leadership development modules. These suggestions were welcomed by all and appreciated by the Chair.

Decision of the PAB

The PAB approved the proposal to fund the activity as part of preparatory exercise, to a tune of Rs.2.3 crore with an advance of Rs.1 crore to be released as first installment to TISS, which is an institution funded by Central Government. TISS would also work closely with NMTT. The expense for these activities would be met out of the MMER funds in the current year.

Item 6 - MHRD MoA with TISS for RUSA Resource Centre (RRC)

- 6.1 The Mission Director placed the MoA between MHRD and TISS for setting up a Technical Support Group (TSG) to be known as the "RUSA Resource Centre". The MoA was signed with the approval from the competent authority in the Ministry. The Mission Director opined that TISS has provided support to the Ministry gratis for over a year and that it has the technical and professional expertise to carry the task forward in view of the urgency in implementation. The Mission Director explained the urgency in setting up the RUSA Resource Centre initially for three months, further extendable by three months. It was also mentioned that the same norms of payment for consultants as in the case of EdCIL has been adopted in the MoU with TISS.
- 6.2 Prof. Shailendra Raj Mehta suggested that the salaries for consultants are very low and good talent will not be attracted, it was felt that the current rates as existing in other CSSs programs like SSA and RMSA be adopted. However, it was also accepted that consultants can be recruited on a project basis and it is not restricted to any monthly amount. Co-Chair however cautioned the PAB to be careful while approving the consultant charges to avoid any complications in future.

Decision of the PAB

The PAB considered and approved the MoA for three months, extendable by another three months. PAB approved monthly expenditure of Rs.15 lakhs to be incurred on the RRC. The amount would be sanctioned to TISS out of MMER amount.

Item 7 - National Quality Renaissance Initiative - NAAC

- 7.1 The Mission Director dwelt upon the need to build and strengthen the capacity of NAAC in order for it to cater to the requirements of institutions to undergo assessment and accreditation. He underscored that unless NAAC is strengthened it will be unable to prepare the States and institutions (through meetings and workshops on quality and accreditation) to be eligible to receive funding under RUSA. Thus the activity is of a preparatory nature in order for States and institutions to develop quality consciousness and to fully participate in RUSA. In this context, NAAC's proposal, namely, the National Quality Renaissance Initiative (NQRI) was examined and discussed.
- 7.2 During the discussion it was agreed that accrediting bodies have to be, in principle, independent from the government and other regulatory bodies. The Mission Director stated that the total estimated cost of NQRI was Rs. 25 crores, out of which first component i.e. the activity of setting up State Level Quality Assurance Cells (SLQAC), estimated at Rs. 8 crores should be left for the States to implement through incorporation in the state plans. Some states expressed that SLQACs should not be the part of state plan but should be steered through NAAC. Chairman UGC emphasized that SLQACs should be a part of the State Higher Education Councils (SHEC), while State Accreditation bodies should be independent of the SHEC. He expressed that states should own up the SLQACs and for this purpose, they should very much be part of state plan.

Decision of the PAB

The PAB approved an allocation of Rs. 17 crores for the current Plan period and an amount of Rs. 4 crores for the current year to NAAC.

Item 8 - Management, Monitoring, Evaluation & Research (MMER)

8.1 The Mission Director explained that Cabinet has sanctioned 4% of the approved outlay as MMER funds of which, the states will get 1%. The 3% would be used for meeting the expense for setting up and running the TSG and support to the national implementing bodies (RUSA Mission Authority, PAB and National Project Directorate) and other related expenses. Further the Mission Director placed for

approval of the PAB, the expenses to be incurred towards items 5, 6 and 7 to be met out of the Centre's share of MMER.

- 8.2 As per Cabinet approval, 1% of the MMER will be given to the States as MMER funds for meeting the expenditure on creation and maintenance of TSG at the State level. It was proposed that 1% of the total amount released, will be given to those States that are eligible to participate in RUSA. This amount would be in addition to the amounts proposed under agenda item no. 3 (preparatory grants)
- 8.3 Responding to the observation made by the Jt. Advisor, Planning Commission whether the flexi fund component as envisaged in the new CSS guidelines will be made applicable in this case, the Mission Director responded by reiterating that there is sufficient flexibility already inbuilt in the Scheme design and therefore the concept of flexi funds is not applicable in the present instance. This was also agreed upon by the Planning Commission and EFC. The Chair cautioned that States must avoid creating permanent liabilities while utilizing these resources.

Decision of the PAB

The PAB approved items 5, 6 and 7 to be met out of the Centre's share of MMER for the current year. It also approved release of MMER funds @ of 1% of the total amount released to States by the Centre.

Item 9 - Management Information System (MIS)

- 9.1 The Mission Director underlined the need for a robust MIS as an important prerequisite for successful implementation of RUSA. In this connection he informed the house that the National Informatics Centre (NIC) has submitted a proposal for preparation of a DPR for an MIS for RUSA, amounting to 16.85 lakhs.
- 9.2 During the discussion the issue of interoperability, portals and gateways that will collate data from multiple information gateways into a single platform which will integrate all existing information sources was over-emphasized and therefore it was desired that this be tasked to the NIC. Certain states while appreciating the involvement of NIC said that a similar arrangement should be replicated at the State

level in order to ensure seamless integration and flow of information between the States and the Centre.

Decision of the PAB

The PAB approved the proposal of NIC as in Annexure 7 and release of an amount of 16.85 lakhs to NIC through a Letter of Authority.

Item 10 - RUSA Draft Guidelines

The Mission Director informed the PAB that draft guidelines on RUSA including programmatic norms as in Annexure VIII have been shared in the public domain for observations and suggestions by multiple stakeholders (primarily states). These guidelines will be finalized in due course after the views and suggestions of states as deemed fit are incorporated.

Decision of the PAB

The PAB requested all states and other PAB members to give comments and suggestions within 15 days.

The meeting ended with thanks to the Chair.

PAB Meeting on RUSA, 6th November 2013 LIST OF PARTICIPANTS

Dept./Ministry Sl.No. Name **Designation** Ashok Thakur Secretary, Higher MHRD 1. Education Prof. Ved Prakash Chairman UGC JS (HE) & Mission Director **MHRD** 3. R.P. Sisodia S.S. Mantha Chairman **AICTE** 4. Dr.Akhilesh Gupta 5. Secretary UGC B. Venkatesh Kumar Professor TISS 6. Shailendra Raj Mehta **Visiting Professor** IIM-Ahmedabad 7. G.K. Dhal Principal Secretary, Higher Govt. of Odisha 8. Education Commissioner and 9. P. Vaiphei Govt. of Manipur Secretary, Higher Education 10. Dr. Pitam singh Jt. Advisor (HRD) **Planning Commission** Additional Secretary. Govt. of Kerala 11. S. Ajikumaran **Higher Education** 12. Dr. P.K.Velayudhan **Director Collegiate** Govt. of Kerala Education, Govt. of Karnataka 13. C.R. Francis Convenor, Higher Education 14. P.M. Sayeed Joint Director, Higher Govt of Jammu and Kashmir Education Govt. of Jammu and Kashmir 15. Sheikh Mushtag Secretary, Higher Ahmed Education 16. Sanjiwan Sinha Govt. of Bihar Special Secretary, Education Department, 17. Ajay Misra Principal Secretary, Higher Govt. of Andhra Pradesh Education 18. Mussarat Aslam Addl. Secretary Govt of Jammu and Kashmir 19. V. N.Narayanan ARC Govt. of Nagaland 20. Dr. Roshan Sunkaria Principal Secretary, Higher Govt. of Punjab Education Director, Colleges 21. G.S. Ghuman Govt. of Punjab 22. Dr. Alok K.Srivastava Associate Professor/Nodal Govt of U.P. Officer (RUSA) MHRD 23. Harpreet Singh Director Dy.Secretary(F), 24. Partap Singh **Higher Education** 25. Damayanthi Srivastava Media officer PIB

Annexure 2(a) Proposals Approved under Model Degree College Scheme (erstwhile)

State	Name of the Colleges & identified Educationally Backward Districts	Amount Released as 1st instalment as per old Scheme (out of Rs 8.00 crore)	Balance to be received under norms of old scheme
Assam	1) Govt. Model Degree College, Deithor, Distt. Karbi Anglong	2.000	2.000
	2) Govt. M odel Degree College, Karimganj (South), Distt. Karimganj	2.000	2.000
	3) Govt. Model Degree College, Borkhola, Distt. Cachar	2.000	2.000
	4) Govt. Model Degree College, Katlicherra, District, Hailakandi	2.000	2.000
	5) Govt. Model Degree College, Lahorigate, Distt. Morigaon	2.000	2.000
	6) Govt. Model Degree College, Dalgaon, Distt. Darrang	2.000	2.000
	7) Govt. Model Degree College, Samaguri, Distt. Nagaon	2.000	2.000
	8) Govt. Model Degree College, Bihali, Distt. Sonitpur	2.000	2.000
	9) Govt. Model Degree College, Abhayapuri (North), Distt. Bongaigaon	2.000	2.000
	10) Govt. Model Degree College, South Salmara, Distt. Dhubri	2.000	2.000
	11) Govt. Model Degree College, Dudhnoi, Distt. Goalpara	2.000	2.000
	12) Govt. Model Degree College, Kakopathar, Distt. Tinsukia	2.000	2.000
Karnataka	1) Govt. First Grade College, Kaniyoor Puttur, Distt. Dakshina Kannada.	2 1.335	2 1.335
Maharashtra	1) Model Degree College in Distt. Buldana	2 1.335	₫ 1.335
	2) Modern College of Arts, Science & Commerce, Distt. Hingoli	2 1.335	2 1.335
	3) SNDT College of BCA, BMS, and B.Com for Women, Distt.Raigarh	2 1.335	2 1.335
	4) University College, Arts, Science, and Commerce, Mirjole, Distt. Ratnagiri	2 1.335	2 1.335
	5) Model College Arts, Science & Commerce, Vengurla, Distt. Sindhudurg	2 1.335	2 1.335

	6) New Model College RTM Nagpur, Distt.Gadchiroli	2 1.335	2 1.335
	7) Model College of Arts, Science and Commerce, Ghansawangi, Distt.Jalna	2 1.335	2 1.335
Punjab	Bebe Nanki University College, Mithra Distt. Kapurthala	2 1.335	2 1.335
	2) Chung College of Arts, Science & Commerce Distt. Tarn Taran (earlier in Distt. Amritsar)	፻ 1.335	₪ 1.335
	3) University College, Nihal Singh Wala, Distt. Moga	2 1.335	2 1.335
	4) University College, Balachaur, Distt. Nawanshahr (now Shaheed Bhagat Singh)	2 1.335	2 1.335
	5) University College, Sikhwala, Distt. Muktsar	₫ 1.335	2 1.335
	6) University College, Sardoolgarh, District, Mansa	2 1.335	2 1.335
	7) University College, Chunni Kalan, Distt. Fatehgarh Sahib	2 1.335	2 1.335
	8) University College, Jaitu, Distt. Faridkot	₫ 1.335	2 1.335
	9) University College, Ghudda, Distt. Bhatinda	2 1.335	₫ 1.335
	10) University College, Dhilwan, Distt. Barnala (earlier in Distt. Sangrur)	2 1.335	2 1.335
	11) University College, Ghannaur, Distt. Patiala	2 1.335	2 1.335
	Total	2 49.365	2 49.365

Proposals Received

State	Name of College & identified Educationally Backward Districts	Entitlement of Central Share under RUSA (90:10 or 65:35)	50% of Central Share as First Instalment	
Andhra Pradesh	1) Govt. Degree College, Jaggampet, Distt. East Godavari.	? 7.80	₹ 3.90	
	2. Govt.Degree College, Kamavarapukota, Distt. West Godavari	2 7.80	2 3.90	
	3) Govt.Degree College, Narayankhed, Distt.Medak	2 7.80	2 3.90	
	4) Govt. Degree, College, Yellareddy, Distt. Nizamabad	? 7.80	₹ 3.90	
	5) Govt. Degree College, Yerragondapalem, Distt. Prakasam.	? 7.80	₹ 3.90	
	6) Govt. Degree College, Atmakur, Distt. Kurnool	? 7.80	₹ 3.90	
	7) Govt. Degree College, Kalwakurthy, Distt. Mahaboobnagar.	? 7.80	₹ 3.90	
Karnataka	1) Govt. First Grade College, Malkote, Distt. Mandya	? 7.80	₹ 3.90	
	2) Govt. First Grade College, Hethur, Distt. Hasssan	? 7.80	₹ 3.90	
	3) Govt. First Grade College, Chikkabasur, Distt. Haveri	? 7.80	₹ 3.90	
	4) Govt. First Grade College, Baada, Distt. Uttara Kannada	? 7.80	₹ 3.90	
	5) Govt. First Grade College, Yaragatti, Distt. Belagam	? 7.80	₹ 3.90	
	6) Govt. First Grade College, Kaladagi, Distt.Bagalkot	2 7.80	2 3.90	
	7) Govt. First Grade College, Yagati, Distt. Chickmagalur	? 7.80	₹ 3.90	
	8) Govt. First Grade College, Alwandi, Distt.Koppal	? 7.80	₹ 3.90	
Kerala	1) Model College, District Malappuram	☑ 7.80	2 3.90	
	2) Model College, Nileshwaram, Madikai, Distt., Kasaragod	2 7.80	₹ 3.90	
	3) Model College, Palakkad District,	2 7.80	2 3.90	
	4) Model College at Meenangadi, Dist. Wayanad (Kerala)	2 7.80	2 3.90	
Odisha	1) University Model Degree College, Boudh	2 7.80	2 3.90	

	District		
	2) University Model Degree College, Distt Deogarh	? 7.80	2 3.90
	3) Model Degree College, Charkiguda, Distt.Malkangiri	☑ 7.80	2 3.90
	4) Model Degree College, Maichheli Distt.Nayagarh	2 7.80	2 3.90
	5) Model Degree College, Distt.Nabarangpur	2 7.80	2 3.90
	6) University Model Degree College, Distt.Nuapada	? 7.80	3.90
	7) Model Degree College, Distt.Rayagada	2 7.80	2 3.90
	8) University Model Degree College, Distt.Sonepur	☑ 7.80	☑ 3.90
Uttar	1) Govt. Degree College, Sukroli, Kushinagar	2 7.80	2 3.90
Pradesh	2) Govt. Degree College, Srawasti	2 7.80	2 3.90
	3) Govt. Degree College, Barakhal, Dist. Sant Kabir Nagar	2 7.80	☑ 3.90
	4) Govt. Degree College, Harpur Nihasta Dist. Raibarely	☑ 7.80	☑ 3.90
	5) Govt. Degree College, Sehmu Dist. Basti	2 7.80	☑ 3.90
	6) Govt. Degree College, Bhojpur Dist. Muradabad	2 7.80	☑ 3.90
	7) Govt. Degree College, Arniya Dist. Bulandsahar	2 7.80	☑ 3.90
	8) Govt. Degree College, Kapuri, Gondipur Dist. Saharanpur	2 7.80	☑ 3.90
	9) Govt. Degree College, Mohammadpur Sumali Dist. Rampur	? 7.80	2 3.90
	10) Govt. Degree College, Panchmohni Dist. Siddharth Nagar	? 7.80	2 3.90
	11) Govt. Degree College, Ponikala Dist. Sonbhadra	2 7.80	☑ 3.90
	12) Govt. Degree College, Todarpur Dist. Hardoi	☑ 7.80	2 3.90
	13) Govt. Degree College, Kuchlai Dist. Sitapur	2 7.80	2 3.90
	14) Govt. Degree College, Kursanda Dist. Hathras	2 7.80	☑ 3.90
	15) Govt. Degree College, Nadha Dist. Badyun	2 7.80	☑ 3.90
	16) Govt. Degree College, Ghusai Kedha Dist. Unao	2 7.80	☑ 3.90
	17) Govt. Degree College, Gaon-Hasour, Vikas Khand-Puredalai Dist. Barabanki	2 7.80	2 3.90
	18) Govt. Degree College, Gaon-Targanva, Vikash Khand-Jaithra Dist. Eta	2 7.80	☑ 3.90

19) Govt. Degree College, Gaon-Bahua Dehat Dist. Fatehpur	2 7.80	2 3.90
20) Govt. Degree College, Gaon Piphari, Vikas Khand- Tindwari Dist. Banda	2 7.80	2 3.90
21) Govt. Degree College, Gaon- Kant Dist. Shajananpur	2 7.80	2 3.90
22) Govt. Degree College, Gaon- Oddjhar (Bilaskhand) Dist. Pilibhit	? 7.80	፻ 3.90
23) Govt. Degree College, Gaon- Devganpura, Vikas Khand-Panvadi. Dist. Mahoba	? 7.80	₹ 3.90
24) Govt. Degree College, Gaon-Pulwara, Vikas Khand-Bar. Dist. Lalitpur	2 7.80	2 3.90
25) Govt. Degree College, Gaon-Sumerpur Dist. Hamirpur	2 7.80	2 3.90
26) Govt. Degree College, Gaon-Bisoha (Gaisadi) Dist. Balrampur	7.80	₹ 3.90
27) Manyavar Kanshi Ram Govt. Degree College, Ninaua, Distt.Farrukhabad	? 7.80	₹ 3.90
28) Dr. Bhim Rao Ambedkar Govt. Degree College, Distt Maharaj Ganj	? 7.80	₹ 3.90
29) Mahamaya Govt. Degree College, Sherkot, Distt. Bijnor	2 7.80	☑ 3.90
Grand Total	2 436.80	218.40

Annexure 3

Sl. No.	State	Prerequisites Status	Preparatory Money First Instalment - Quota according to formulaic allocation of funds (in Crores)	Preparatory Money First Instalment - Eligible according to formulaic allocation of funds (in Crores)	State Share (in Crores)	Central Share (in Crores)
1.	A&N Islands	Yes, fulfilled	3	3	1.05	1.95
2.	Andhra Pradesh	Yes, fulfilled	5	5	1.75	3.25
3.	Assam	Yes, fulfilled	4	4	0.4	3.6
4.	Bihar	Yes, fulfilled	4	4	1.4	2.6
5.	Chandigarh	Yes, fulfilled	3	3	1.05	1.95
6.	Dadra & Nagar Haveli	Yes, fulfilled	3	3	1.05	1.95
7.	Daman & Diu	Yes, fulfilled	3	3	1.05	1.95
8.	Himachal Pradesh	Yes, fulfilled	4	4	0.4	3.6
9.	Uttar Pradesh	Yes, fulfilled	5	5	1.75	3.25
10.	Karnataka	Yes, fulfilled	5	5	1.75	3.25
11.	Kerala	Yes, fulfilled	4	4	1.4	2.6
12.	Maharashtra	Yes, fulfilled	5	5	1.75	3.25
13.		Yes, fulfilled	3	3	0.3	2.7
14.	Nagaland	Yes, fulfilled	3	3	0.3	2.7
15.	Odisha	Yes, fulfilled	4	4	1.4	2.6
16.	,	Yes, fulfilled	4	4	1.4	2.6
17	Total		71	62	18.2	43.8
18.	,	Yes, fulfilled	5	5	1.75	3.25
19	Grand Total		76	67	19.95	47.05